

**From:** [David Russell](#)  
**To:** [Planning Policy](#)  
**Subject:** Sheepy Parish Neighbourhood plan, YOUR REF: SHEEPYPLAN/REG16  
**Date:** 16 September 2018 10:15:06  
**Importance:** High

---

I wish to make representations with regard to the Sheepy Parish Neighbourhood plan. These representations should be considered as objections.

The grounds for objection are as follows.

1. There may be issues of equality and discrimination in your process, which have not been adequately addressed: For many, the language used to provide notice is overly complex and would prohibit an objection being lodged as the correspondence is not easily understood. Moreover, for those who wish to make a written submission as an objection, but have no experience in doing so there is inadequate guidance and reasonable steps have not been taken to recognise this or address this. Accordingly, the consultation is flawed and may not proceed.
2. There is not clear framework to facilitate responses, which would allow responses to be appropriately categorised, leading to subjectivity in interpretation.
3. There is not clear engagement strategy and consultation plan that has been adequately communicated to all stakeholders.
4. The time for consultation for a proposal of this magnitude is too short, making comprehensive objection difficult.
5. There has been no economic impact study for the wider region, to gain a complete understanding of the impact of this proposal.
6. A social impact study is required which addresses the possibility of social problems as a consequence of a development of this magnitude. Such a study would need to consider the wider region, as research has shown that social impacts of developments manifest in a much broader geographical area than originally anticipated.
7. The infrastructure is clearly inadequate to support a development of this magnitude. Insufficient measures are proposed to address this.
8. There is no evidence that the local authority and other public bodies, can provide adequate public services for a development of this magnitude. Financial assurance is required, along with detailed business and operational plans to demonstrate this. To proceed in the absence of this may be considered negligent.
9. Electoral commission implications have not been made mention of.

Finally, I have not had the opportunity to scrutinise the proposal fully, so I reserve the right to make further and fuller objections once I have conducted a thorough review.

I would be grateful if you could acknowledge receipt of this email and appraise me of how my representations will be used going forward in perpetuity, rather than for a single part of the process.

Regards

David

Sent from my mobile device  
Professor David Russell