

Question		<i>Please explain your answer</i>
<p>Q1: To what extent do you agree or disagree that knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it?</p>	<p>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</p>	<p>Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way</p>
<p>Q2: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the act of knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it with vehicles?</p>	<p>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</p>	<p>Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way</p>
<p>Q3: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the landowner or representatives of the landowner should take reasonable steps to ask persons occupying their land to remove themselves and their possessions before occupation of the land can be considered a criminal offence?</p>	<p>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</p>	<p>What would be reasonable steps? This may be difficult if landowner is fearful or has been threatened in any way. Many landowners would not feel comfortable approaching unknown people on their land without support from police officers.</p>
<p>Q4: To what extent do you agree or disagree that a criminal offence can only be committed when the following conditions have been met? a) the encampment prevents people entitled to use the land from making use of it;</p>	<p>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</p>	<p>Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way</p>

Q4: b) the encampment is causing or is likely to cause damage to the land or amenities	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way
Q4: c) those on the encampment have demanded money from the landowner to vacate the land; and/or	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way
Q4: d) those on the encampment are involved or are likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour.	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way. It would be difficult if not impossible to assess who might be likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour
Q5: What other conditions not covered in the above should we consider?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Think it should be an offence if they enter without permission and occupy in any way
Q6: To what extent do you agree or disagree that police should be given the power to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites in a neighbouring local authority area?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Agree – however this would rely on enough authorised sites to be available within a reasonable distance
Q7: Should this be subject to conditions around agreements being in place between local authorities?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Police should be able to direct trespassers to the nearest authorised site which has spaces without reference to local authority boundaries. However if this is not possible I think that there should be agreements in place to ensure this is managed in a reasonable and effective way.
Q8: Should there be a maximum distance that a trespasser can be directed across?	Yes	If yes, what distance should that be? If it will rely on local authorities cooperating a geographical boundary may be easier as then you can work agreements up within the county.
Q9: Should there be any other conditions that should be considered when directing a trespasser across neighbouring authorities.	Yes/No	If yes, what should these be?
Q10: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return should be increased from three months to twelve months?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Agree
Q11: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or</i>	Agree

unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised should be lowered from six to two vehicles?	<i>disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	
Q12: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Agree
Q13: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to seize property, including vehicles, from trespassers who are on land with the purpose of residing on it?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Agree
Q14: Should the police be able to seize the property of: i) Anyone whom they suspect to be trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it; ii) Anyone they arrest for trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it; or iii) Anyone convicted of trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Anyone convicted of trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it..
Q15: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation are sufficient measures to tackle the public disorder issues which are associated with unauthorised encampments without the requirement for introducing specific powers that criminalise unauthorised encampments?	<i>Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree</i>	Agree
Q16: Do you expect that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation would have a positive or negative impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities?	<i>Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact</i>	If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts? If there are enough authorised camps to direct/ redirect to the impact will be lessened.
Q17: Do you expect that criminalising unauthorised encampments would have a positive or negative	<i>Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither</i>	If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts?

<p>impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities?</p>	<p><i>positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact</i></p>	<p>If there are enough authorised camps to direct/ redirect to the impact will be lessened, otherwise the impact of someone having a criminal record due to no authorised camps being available may impact on their life chances.</p>
<p>Q18: Do you have any other comments to make on the issue of unauthorised encampments not specifically addressed by any of the questions above?</p>		<p>The police powers are a positive step but do the police have enough resources to be robust in the enforcement of these powers. As we know they are already stretched beyond capacity.</p>