

Site details	Site Code	Site AS134 – Land at Manor Farm, Burbage			
	Area	14.6 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Existing drainage features	An unnamed drain flows from west to east across the site through the southern part of the site.			
	Fluvial	F	Proportion of	site at risk	{
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1
		19%	22%	24%	76%
		Flood risk to the site is associated with the drain that flows across the site. Flood Zones 3b, 3a and 2 primarily extend northwards into the site from the left bank of the drain, bisecting the site. The 2D generalised modelling is slightly misaligned in places with the watercourse, which could be refined with a more detailed hydraulic model.			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			
		РТОРО	rtion of site	at risk (Roi	FfSW)
Sources of		30-year	100-y	•	1,000-year
Sources of flood risk			1	ear	
	Surface Water	30-year	100-y 3% bood risk affect be drain which coximately para r flooding patte isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne	ing the site crosses the sillel to the ide rns are similar of ponding. Buch larger a ar Sapcote F	1,000-year 23% e in 30-year event is ite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large
	Surface Water Reservoir	30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running appretion the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the	nod risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patte isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area of	ing the site crosses the sillel to the ide rns are similar of ponding. Such larger a ar Sapcote For the site to	1,000-year 23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to lar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main
		30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running apprentiation that the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel.	100-y 3% ood risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patter isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area co b be at risk of re of historic flood	ing the site prosses the sillel to the ide rns are similar of ponding. The side of ponding ar Sapcote For the site to reservoir flood ding at the site side of the site side of the site side of the s	23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main ding.
flood risk	Reservoir Flood history	30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running apported the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel. The site is not shown to the site are no records	100-y 3% ood risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patter isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area co b be at risk of re of historic flood	ing the site crosses the sillel to the ide rns are similar of ponding. Such larger a ar Sapcote For the site to eservoir flood ding at the site ency historic rd of	23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main ding.
flood risk Flood risk	Reservoir	30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running apported the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel. The site is not shown to the site is not records County Council or the site is Defence Type	100-y 3% ood risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patter isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area co be at risk of re of historic flood environment Ag Standa Protec	ing the site crosses the site crosses the site of ponding. The site to the site of the site to the site of the sit	1,000-year 23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main ding. lite from Leicestershire of flooding map. Condition
flood risk	Reservoir Flood history	30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running apprentiation that the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel. The site is not shown to the flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel. The site is not shown to the flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel.	100-y 3% ood risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patter isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area co be at risk of re of historic flood environment Ag Standa Protec	ing the site crosses the site crosses the site of ponding. The site to the site of the site to the site of the sit	1,000-year 23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main ding. lite from Leicestershire of flooding map. Condition
Flood risk management	Reservoir Flood history	30-year 1% The surface water floconcentrated around the flow path running apported the north. Surface water with some additional surface water flooding path enters the site from area of ponding in the drainage channel. The site is not shown to the site is not records County Council or the site is Defence Type	100-y 3% ood risk affect e drain which coximately para r flooding patter isolated areas inundates a m m the north (ne central area co be at risk of re of historic flood environment Ag Standa Protec	ing the site crosses the site crosses the site of ponding. The site to the site of the site to the site of the sit	1,000-year 23% e in 30-year event is lite and along a second entified drain 110 m to ar in the 100-year event. In 1,000-year event, area of the site. A flow Road) and joins a large the north of the main ding. lite from Leicestershire of flooding map. Condition



Site details	Site Code	Site AS134 – Land at Manor Farm, Burbage			
	Area	14.6 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Dry access and egress to the site is available via Aston Flamville Road (from Sapcote Road) in all fluvial events and in the 30-year and 100-year surface water flooding events. Access to Aston Flamville Road from Sapcote Road would be limited in 1,000-year surface water flooding events; however, could be possible if reaching Aston Flamville Road via the B4669 from the M69 roundabout. Dry access and egress is not possible at the site from Dorchester Road or Sherborne Road in all surface water and fluvial events. The depths, velocities, hazards, durations and speeds of onset of surface water and fluvial flooding along access/ egress routes should be investigated further in a site-specific assessment, to confirm whether access for emergency vehicles could still be obtained.			
	Climate change allowances for '2080s'	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
		Humber	20%	30%	50%
Climate Change	Implications for the site	Fluvial extents from climate change compared with FZ3a. As the site is affithe 100-year event, climate change nand frequency of surface water flood extent can be used as an indication extents. There is a large increase be surface water extents (20% of the whosensitive to the impacts of climate characterisms.	ected by surf nay also incr ding. The 1,0 n of surface tween the 10 nole site) sug	ace water flo rease the ext 2000-year sur water clima 20-year and ggesting that	oding from tent, depth face water te change 1,000-year



	Site Code	Site AS134 – Land at Manor Farm, Burbage		
Site details	Area	14.6 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	 Geology at the site consists of: Bedrock – Mudstone, siltstone and sandstone Superficial – Diamicton The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration. Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention. All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required. The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site. Developers should refer to latest SuDS guidance on Leicestershire County Council's website and Environmental Best Practice notes as well as the Level 1 SFRA, for information on suitable types of SuDS, the management train and opportunities and constraints in site master-planning. 		
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will need to be applied if: • More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2. • Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b. • More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b. • Essential Infrastructure in Flood Zone 3b will require the Exception Test. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.		

Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment	development types are appropriate for this flood risk zone but must take into account the flood risk (1% to 0.1% annual exceedance probability). The site area includes the Flood Zone 3a extents. Future development must take into account the flood risk in this area (5% to 1% annual exceedance probability). More vulnerable and critical infrastructure development is possible within Flood Zone 3a but is required to pass the Exception Test. Highly vulnerable development is not permitted within Flood Zone 3a. The site area includes the extents of flood zone 3b, also known as the functional floodplain. Only essential infrastructure passing the Exception Test is permitted within Flood Zone 3b. Should there be any development within Flood Zone 3b flood storage lost by the development must be offset. The site extents include the modelled 100-year + 50% climate change flood outline. Any development should consider the future flood risk impacts onsite and the impacts the development may have upon future flood flows. An ordinary watercourse is within or immediately adjacent to the site area and therefore consultation with Lead Local Flood Authority should be completed. If alterations or discharges are proposed to the watercourse a land drainage consent will be required. More detailed hydraulic modelling using channel survey may be required as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, to confirm flood risk shown in the 2D generalised modelling. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area through the centre of the site's boundary. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the drain through the site to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Water quality requirements for sustai		
	 Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk 		
	 Creating space for flooding. Mapping Information		
TI	he Flood Zones have been derived from 2D generalised modelling techniques.		
Surface Water	The climate change allowances for the '2080s' epoch were modelled using 2D generalised modelling techniques. The Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		



	Site Code	Site AS134 – Land at Manor Farm, Burbage	
	Area	14.6 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		Depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (Flood Zone 3a) have been taken from 2D generalised modelling techniques.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	