

	Site Code	Site AS1008 – Land south of Sacheverell Way, Groby					
Site details	Area	38.6 hectares					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Mixed Use					
	Existing drainage features	The Rothley Brook runs along the site boundary at the south-eastern corner of the site. In this area an unnamed tributary of Rothley Brook runs from southwest to northeast across the site before it joins Rothley Brook. A number of tributaries of the Rothley Brook flow through the northern part of the site and along the site boundary.					
			Prop	portion of	site at ris	k	
		FZ3b	FZ	Z3a	FZ2		FZ1
		11%	11	1%	12%		88%
	Fluvial	Flood Zones 3b, 3a and 2 are focused around the watercourses and the areas of low elevation on the site. The south-eastern corner of the site near Rothley Brook and the unnamed tributary falls within all Flood Zones, due to the impoundment between the highways and low topography. There is a small area that falls within all Flood Zones in the northern corner of the site. Several small, unnamed and unmodelled drains flow through the site which could also pose a fluvial flood risk to the site.					
Sources of		Pr	oportio	on of site a	at risk (Ro	FfSW	')
flood risk		30-year 100-year 1,000-year					
							.,,
		4%		8%			16%
	Surface Water		y low are ne site. Th 000-year er pondin	8% concentrates as across there are so event in the ng at the bo	ted primarily ne site, parti me isolated ne north-we rder along S	y arou icularly I areas estern Sachev	16% ind watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. yerell Way begins
	Surface Water Reservoir	4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1,0 Some surface wate	y low are ne site. Th 200-year er pondin e site bor a corner are subje flooding <u>sk map</u> . ir and is t advice of	8% concentrates cas across the here are so event in the g at the bo undary in a of the site ect to flood can be for The site is therefore un r further info	ted primarily the site, parti- me isolated the north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate hilkely to affe- ormation be	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirc ely 9kr ect the e requir	16% and watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. verell Way begins vents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The poment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of
		4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1,0 Some surface wate to encroach into th The south-easterr unnamed tributary extent of potential Long term flood ris Thornton Reservoi reservoir. Should	y low are ne site. The 200-year er pondin <u>e site boo</u> n corner are subject flooding sk map. ir and is t advice on ern Trent ords of hi	8% concentrates as across the here are so event in the g at the bolo undary in a of the site ect to flood g can be for The site is therefore un r further infi- Water) sho istoric flood	ted primarily me site, parti me isolated ne north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate nlikely to affe ormation be ould be conta ing at the s	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirc ely 9kr ject the requir acted.	16% and watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. verell Way begins vents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The ponment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of
	Reservoir	4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1,0 Some surface wate to encroach into th The south-easterr unnamed tributary extent of potential Long term flood ris Thornton Reservoir reservoir. Should the reservoir (Seve There are no reco	y low are ne site. The 200-year er pondin e site both acorner are subjet flooding sk map. ir and is the advice of ern Trent ords of hit the Environment	8% concentrates as across the here are so event in the g at the boundary in a of the site ect to flood g can be for The site is therefore un r further infi- Water) sho istoric flood ronment Ag Standa	ted primarily the site, parti- me isolated the north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate hikely to affi- primation be uld be conta- ting at the s ency.	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirc ely 9kr iect the erequir acted. site fro	16% and watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. verell Way begins vents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The ponment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of
	Reservoir	4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1, Some surface wate to encroach into th The south-easterr unnamed tributary extent of potential Long term flood ris Thornton Reservoi reservoir. Should the reservoir (Seve There are no reco County Council or	y low are ne site. The 200-year er pondin e site both acorner are subjet flooding sk map. ir and is the advice of ern Trent ords of hit the Environment	8% concentrates as across there are so event in the g at the boundary in a of the site ect to flood can be for The site is therefore ur r further info Water) sho istoric flood ronment Ag	ted primarily the site, parti- me isolated the north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate hikely to affi- primation be uld be conta- ting at the s ency.	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirc ely 9kr iect the erequir acted. site fro	16% ind watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. verell Way begins vents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The poment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of om Leicestershire
Flood risk	Reservoir Flood history	4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1, Some surface wate to encroach into th The south-easterr unnamed tributary extent of potential Long term flood ris Thornton Reservoir reservoir. Should the reservoir (Seve There are no reco County Council or Defence Type	y low are ne site. Th 200-year er pondin <u>e site bou</u> n corner are subje flooding <u>sk map</u> . ir and is t advice of ern Trent ords of hi the Envir	8% concentrate as across the here are so event in the g at the bo undary in a of the site ect to flood g can be for The site is therefore un r further infe Water) sho istoric flood ronment Ag Standar Protec	ted primarily ne site, parti me isolated ne north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate nlikely to affe ormation be uld be contai ing at the s ency.	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirce ely 9kr iect the e requir acted. site fro	16% ind watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. verell Way begins vents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The poment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of om Leicestershire
	Reservoir Flood history	4% Surface water floo and topographicall and northeast of th ponding in the 1, Some surface wate to encroach into th The south-easterr unnamed tributary extent of potential Long term flood ris Thornton Reservoi reservoir. Should the reservoir (Seve There are no reco County Council or	y low are ne site. The 200-year er pondin e site both acorner are subjection flooding sk map. ir and is the advice of ern Trent ords of hit the Envire tected by ern end of Should the ponding	8% s concentrate as across there are so event in the oundary in a of the site ect to flood g can be for The site is therefore ur r further infi- Water) sho istoric flood ronment Ag Standar Protec: - y any forma of the site F is structure g at the source	ied primarily me site, parti me isolated ne north-we rder along S Il surface wa near the F risk from Th und on the approximate likely to affe ormation be uld be containing at the s ency. rd of tion	y arou icularly l areas estern Sachev ater ev Rothley horntor Envirce ely 9kr fect the e requir acted. site fro	16% ind watercourses y in the southeast of surface water area of the site. yerell Way begins yents. y Brook and the n Reservoir. The onment Agency's m downstream of e operation of the red, the owner of m Leicestershire Condition - ys under the A46 here is increased The potential for



Site details	Site Code	Site AS1008 – Land south of Sacheverell Way, Groby				
	Area	38.6 hectares				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Mixed Use				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Dry access and egress to this site is limited in all surface water events due to surface water ponding on access roads such as Groby Road, Sacheverell Way and Ratby Road to the north and west of the site. Dry access and egress is available in all fluvial events via Sacheverell Way and Groby Road. The depths, velocities, hazards, durations and speeds of onset of surface water and fluvial flooding along access/ egress routes should be investigated further in a site-specific assessment, to confirm whether access for emergency vehicles could still be obtained.				
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
	'2080s'	Humber	20%	30%	50%	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	Fluvial extents from climate change did not increase significantly when compared with FZ3a. As the site is affected by surface water flooding from the 100-year event, climate change may also increase the extent, depth and frequency of surface water flooding. The 1,000-year surface water extent can be used as an indication of surface water climate change extents.				



Site details	Site Code	Site AS1008 – Land south of Sacheverell Way, Groby		
	Area	38.6 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Mixed Use		
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	 Geology at the site consists of: Bedrock – Mudstone, siltstone and sandstone Superficial – Diamicton. This superficial geology is limited to the north eastern area of the site. The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Infiltration may be suitable. Mapping suggests a medium risk of groundwater flooding and underlying soils may be permeable. Further site investigation should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration. If infiltration is suitable it should be avoided in areas where the depth to the water table is <1m. Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention. A liner maybe required due to the site potential groundwater flooding. All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site. should refer to latest SuDS guidance on Leicestershire County Council's website and Environmental Best Practice notes as well as the Level 1 SFRA, for information on suitable types of SuDS, the management train and opportunities and constraints. 		
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	 The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. The Exception Test will need to be applied if: More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2. Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b. Essential Infrastructure in Flood Zone 3b will require the Exception Test. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable', employment development is classified as 'Less Vulnerable'. 		

Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the EA should be undertaken at an early stage. At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. A Flood Risk Assessment must consider the entire lifetime of the development and consider all sources of flooding. The site area includes the Flood Zone 2 extents. Most development types are appropriate for this flood risk zone but must take into account the flood risk (1% to 0.1% annual exceedance probability). The site area includes the Flood Zone 3a extents. Future development must take into account the flood risk in this area (5% to 1% annual exceedance probability). More vulnerable and critical infrastructure development is possible within Flood Zone 3a but is required to pass the Exception Test. Highly vulnerable development is not permitted within Flood Zone 3b, also known as the functional floodplain. Only essential infrastructure passing the Exception Test is permitted within Flood Zone 3b. Should there be any development within flood zone 3b flood storage lost by the development must be offset. The site extents include the modelled 100-year + 50% climate change flood outline. Any development should consider the future flood risk impacts onsite and the impacts the development may have upon future flood flows. An ordinary watercourse is within or immediately adjacent to the site area and therefore consultation with Lead Local Flood Authority should be completed. If alterations or discharges are proposed to the watercourse a land drainage consent will be required.
	 More detailed hydraulic modelling using channel survey may be required as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, to confirm flood risk shown in the 2D generalised modelling. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area through the centre of the site's boundary. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures. Onsite attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the Rothley Brook and associated tributaries along the boundaries of and through the site to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. Several small, unnamed and unmodelled drains flow through the site which could also pose a fluvial flood risk to the site. These should be modelled as part of a site-specific FRA. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Water quality requirements for sustainable development should comply with current SuDS guidance. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Creating space for flooding.
	Mapping Information
Flood Zones	The Flood Zones have been derived from 2D generalised modelling techniques. The climate change allowances for the '2080s' epoch were modelled using 2D
Climate change	generalised modelling techniques.



	Site Code	Site AS1008 – Land south of Sacheverell Way, Groby		
Site details	Area	38.6 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Mixed Use		
Surface Water		The Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		Depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (Flood Zone 3a have been taken from 2D generalised modelling techniques.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year even (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		